

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/038, 158 03/11/98 WALLMAN

S 10392-46001

026646
KENYON & KENYON
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK NY 10004

TM02/1003

EXAMINER

CALVER, T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-----------	----------	--------------

2164
DATE MAILED:

10/03/01

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/038,158	Applicant(s) WALLMAN, Steven M. H.
Examiner Jim Calve	Art Unit 2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 2, 2001

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on Mar 11, 1998 is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13, 15

20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the arrow on a dial (claim 33) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Applicant's intention to submit a revised drawing upon allowance of claim 33 is noted; however, applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. Formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 4-8, 44, 45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris et al, U.S. patent 5,918,218.

Harris et al disclose a trading system and method for enabling a plurality of users to create, manage, and trade a portfolio of directly owned assets/liabilities with a processor (40, 50), communicating with a plurality of users (e.g., column 2, line 30 et seq; column 6, line 10 et seq), aggregation of buy/sell orders (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq; column 7, line 1 et seq), order to trade (e.g., column 6, line 33 et seq), storage (40), and electronic payment system (e.g., 1758) (Figure 17C).

Harris et al also disclose an electronic payment system (e.g., 1758) (Figure 17C), plural accounts and payment storage (e.g., Figures 17A-D; column 2, line 60 et seq; column 13, line 38

Art Unit: 3721

et seq), communication link (e.g., 240), sending aggregate users' trades to third party trader (e.g., column 7, line 10 et seq).

3. Claims 30, 32, 44, 47, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ray, U.S. patent 6,018,722.

Ray et al disclose a PC-based program and apparatus to create, manage, and trade a portfolio of directly owned assets/liabilities with a GUI (e.g., 200, 210, 230) (Figure 2; column 10, line 25 et seq), asset allocation model (115), user preference data (Figure 6), plurality of assets/liabilities for portfolio (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), risk and return calculation process (e.g., 720) (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq), portfolio editor (Figure 7), communication process (130, 450) (Figures 1, 4; column 9, line 23 et seq), processor receiving user data/amounts of money to be invested (e.g., column 9, line 22 et seq; column 10, line 1 et seq), storage unit (245), receiving user trading data including user ID and preference information (e.g., Figures 1-4, 6, 7; column 4, line 55 et seq), creating a user customizable portfolio and receiving a user order to trade the portfolio (e.g., column 3, line 4 et seq; column 5, line 20 et seq; column 8, line 54 et seq), executing plural trades for the portfolio (e.g., Figures 1, 4, 7; column 3, line 19 et seq; column 9, line 23 et seq).

Ray et al also disclose a numerical indicator (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 2, 3, 9-17, 19-25, 36-42, 48, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq).

Art Unit: 3721

Harris et al disclose a trading system and method, as discussed, including periodically aggregating and executing transactions over an amount of transactions to be traded by a user in subsequent transactions (e.g., column 6, line 50 et seq; column 7, line 10 et seq), a processor, communication of user ID (e.g., Figures 11-14), periodic/monthly payments (e.g., payroll deductions, column 8, line 15 et seq), fractional shares (e.g., column 8, line 25 et seq), trade pricing information (column 7, line 27 et seq), and payment information (e.g., column 6, line 23 et seq). Harris et al do not disclose asset allocation/customizable portfolio creation based on user preferences. Jones et al disclose a user trader system with asset allocation modeling to select assets (e.g., column 2, line 15 et seq; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 40 et seq), user PC (345) for user ID and preferences (e.g., Figures 1, 3; column 5, line 50 et seq), GUI risk and return process (Maggioncalda et al, Figures 4-7c, 9, 10, 12a/b, 14a/b), allocation adjustment (Maggioncalda et al, Figures 12a, 12b), program transmission (e.g., column 5, line 40 et seq), tax basis (320) (column 10, line 25 et seq), pricing data reallocation (column 12, line 10 et seq; column 18, line 50 et seq; column 19, line 17 et seq), Internet/WWW site (column 7, line 55 et seq; column 5, line 40 et seq), creating a customizable portfolio of tradable assets/liabilities (e.g., column 2, line 47 et seq; column 6, line 14 et seq; column 17, line 17 et seq), receiving an order to trade the portfolio as a whole and executing plural trades therefor (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq; column 20, line 21 et seq). An asset allocation modeling and execution process provides an improved means to assist an individual in creating and implementing a customizable portfolio that achieves individualized goals within a particular investor's unique circumstances (e.g., column 2, line 13 et seq; column 4, line 5 et seq; column 6, line 30 et seq). It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3721

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an asset allocation and portfolio modeling process, as taught by Jones et al, on the method and system of Harris et al to provide an improved means for an individual to manage a portfolio (e.g., for retirement). Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to aggregate transactions more frequently (e.g., a plurality of predetermined times) in order to reduce the size of the omnibus trade file transactions to facilitate execution (cf., Harris et al, column 7, line 50 et seq).

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al (and Maggioncalda et al) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Debe et al, U.S. patent 5,758,097.

The modified system of Harris et al discloses a trading system. The modified system of Harris et al does not disclose user voting rights information. Debe et al disclose a trading system for tracking user voting rights (Figure 2; column 5, line 42 et seq). A vote tracking system provides an improved means of tracking users' voting interests in investment assets (e.g., those involving fractional interests in an asset) (column 2, line 33 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a vote tracking system, as taught by Debe et al, on the modified system of Harris et al to provide a means of tracking users' voting rights in various investment assets.

6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein

Art Unit: 3721

by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Champion et al, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

The modified system of Harris et al discloses a trading system, as discussed. The modified system of Harris et al does not disclose a direct dial-up telephone. Champion et al disclose a trading system with direct dial-up telephone connection (Figure 2). A direct-dial telephone connection provides a well-known communication means for a trading system (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a direct-dial connection, as taught by Champion et al, on the modified system of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system.

7. Claims 27-29 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Champion et al, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

Harris et al disclose a trading system, as discussed, including order aggregation. Harris et al do not disclose a direct dial-up telephone connection or netting orders. Champion et al disclose a trading system with direct/intermediate dial-up telephone connection (Figure 2) and aggregating and netting orders (e.g., column 5, line 10 et seq). A direct-dial telephone connection provides a well-known communication means for a trading system (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), while order aggregation and netting provides an improved means to significantly reduce transaction expenses (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a direct-dial connection and order aggregation and

Art Unit: 3721

netting, as taught by Champion et al, on the system of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system and for orders to be transacted.

8. Claims 30-35 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq), in view of Ray et al, U.S. patent 6,018,722.

Jones et al disclose a personal computer program for creating, managing, and trading a user customizable portfolio of assets/liabilities, including a GUI (345) for user identification and preference data (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq), a user preference asset allocation modeling process (e.g., column 2, line 15 et seq; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 40 et seq), risk and return process (e.g., column 8, line 50 et seq), portfolio editor (e.g., Figure 8; column 6, line 40 et seq), communication process for executing plural implementing trades (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq; also Maggioncalda et al, Figure 4). To the extent that Jones et al could be interpreted not to disclose a communication process to execute trades, Ray et al disclose a personal computer program for creating, managing, and trading a portfolio of assets/liabilities, including GUI (e.g., 200, 210, 230) (Figure 2; column 10, line 25 et seq), asset allocation model (115), user preference data (Figure 6), plurality of assets/liabilities for portfolio (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), risk and return calculation process (e.g., 720) (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq), portfolio editor (Figure 7), and communication process (130, 450) (Figures 1, 4; column 9, line 23 et seq), processor receiving user data/amounts of money to be invested (e.g., column 9, line 22 et seq; column 10, line 1 et seq), and storage unit (245). A communication process provides a means to implement

Art Unit: 3721

portfolio recommendations generated by an asset allocation/portfolio optimization process program, thereby enabling an individual to create an investment portfolio for future management (e.g., Ray et al; column 2, line 10 et seq; Jones et al, column 6, line 30 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a communication process, as taught by Ray et al, on the device of Jones et al to provide a means to implement a user-selected portfolio.

Jones et al also disclose a GUI display of risk and return, numerical indicator, (e.g., Figures 4-7c, 9, 10), “arrow on a dial”/range of numerical values (e.g., Figures 5b, 6, 9, 12a/b, 14a/b). Further, Ray et al also disclose a numerical indicator (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq). Jones et al are deemed to disclose an “arrow” on a dial/range of numerical values, as disclosed in the present application (page 41, lines 7-9). To the extent that Jones et al do not disclose color codes, Official Notice is taken that the concept and advantages of color codes in providing an enhanced visual depiction of investment returns and risks are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide color codes for investment risks and returns to enable a user to better comprehend those relative risks and returns (cf., Maggioncalda et al, column 13, line 10 et seq). Official Notice is also taken that the concept and advantages of control processes that automatically update versions of a user program are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide system updates of a user program in order to automatically provide the most recent features of a user program.

Art Unit: 3721

9. Claims 43 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq), as applied to claims 42 and 48 above, and further in view of Champion, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

The modified method of Harris et al discloses a periodic investment method, as discussed, including order aggregation. The modified method of Harris et al does not disclose netting orders. Champion et al disclose a trading method including aggregating and netting orders (e.g., column 5, line 10 et seq). Order aggregation and netting provides an improved means to significantly reduce transaction expenses (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide order aggregation and netting, as taught by Champion et al, on the method of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system and for orders to be transacted.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2001, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Harris et al are deemed to disclose a trading system and method for enabling a plurality of investors to create and trade a portfolio of directly owned assets/liabilities (e.g., column 2, line 3 et seq; column 5, line 60 et seq; column 6, line 15 et seq; column 7, line 7 et seq). Investors make portfolio trading decisions that the system and method of Harris et al execute (e.g., column 6, line 15 et seq; column 7, line 7 et seq). Further, Harris et al are deemed to disclose a portfolio of assets/liabilities (i.e., a variety of securities investment options) (e.g., column 2, line 3 et seq;

Art Unit: 3721

column 2, line 23 et seq). Moreover, Harris et al are deemed to disclose the aggregation of all buy and sell orders into a single buy and sell order for each asset/liability to a third party for execution (e.g., Figure 2; column 6, line 30 et seq; column 7, line 1 et seq). The aggregation of orders by trade (e.g., buy and sell) and asset/liability type (e.g., fund) (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq) occurs across all users of the system (e.g., column 7, line 10 et seq; column 8, line 30 et seq), as broadly recited and disclosed. Payment for these trades occurs via electronic payment systems (e.g., by electronic funds transfer) (e.g., column 8, line 15 et seq), as broadly recited and disclosed (e.g., page 43, lines 21-26 of the application). Finally, Harris et al disclose a system and method for trading directly owned assets/liabilities from which investors receive capital gains and dividends (e.g., column 11, line 13 et seq; column 15, line 62 et seq), and which result in purchase and exchange trades (e.g., column 9, line 64 et seq; column 13, line 47 et seq).

Ray et al are deemed to disclose a program and apparatus for enabling plural users to create, manage, and trade a customized portfolio of directly owned assets/liabilities via periodic investments (e.g., Figures 1-7; column 1, line 55 et seq; column 2, line 20 et seq; column 3, line 19 et seq; column 5, line 25 et seq). This customized portfolio allows an investor to select from a recommended list of securities (e.g., column 3, line 19 et seq). Further, the customized portfolio is customized to each individual investor's unique circumstances (e.g., Figures 1, 3, 4, 7; column 4, line 46 et seq; column 5, line 32 et seq). The customized allocation of a wide variety of assets/liabilities (e.g., column 2, line 49 et seq) provides an individualized risk-adjusted portfolio appropriate to each individual investor (e.g., column 4, line 62 et seq; column 5, line 20 et seq; column 8, line 39 et seq).

Art Unit: 3721

Harris et al are deemed to disclose trading of portfolios of directly owned assets/liabilities, as discussed. Jones et al, Maggioncalda et al, Debe et al, and Champion et al are relied upon to disclose those inventive features not taught by Harris et al (e.g., asset allocation modeling process, tracking voting rights, and direct dial up connection). Jones et al and Maggioncalda et al disclose the use of asset allocation modeling to select investor assets (e.g., column 2, line 15 et seq; column 2, line 48 et seq). Asset allocation modeling provides an improved, well-known means to provide unique, customized user portfolios of assets/liabilities appropriate to each user's unique circumstances (e.g., Jones et al; column 2, line 25 et seq), thereby providing a means for a system operator to fulfill their fiduciary obligation (e.g., Harris et al, column 2, line 4 et seq) and for investors to choose from a variety of investment options (e.g., Harris et al, column 2, line 23 et seq). Debe et al disclose a system for tracking voting rights in investor-owned assets (e.g., column 5, line 42 et seq). Such tracking means provides an improved means to track investors' voting rights, which are an important investor interest in various assets (e.g., column 2, line 33 et seq) and which is combined with the disclosed ability of Harris et al to track other valuable investor rights, such as the right to receive dividends and capital gains (e.g., column 11, line 13 et seq; column 15, line 62 et seq). Champion et al disclose a direct dial up connection for investors and system users. Use of this well-known communication means allows users and investors to access the system remotely and trade assets/liabilities (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq). Such method would be easily combined with that of Harris et al, which also users to submit portfolio trade orders (e.g., column 6, line 16 et seq; column 7, line 7 et seq).

Art Unit: 3721

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In this regard, it is noted that the method and system of Harris et al can be used with alternate embodiments (e.g., column 4, line 35 et seq).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3721

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim Calve, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:45 am to 6:45 pm. The fax number for this organization is (703) 305-9051/9052. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

JP
C

JP
C

September 28, 2001



VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection contained in the previous office action (Paper #10) has been withdrawn in order to apply new grounds of rejection. *See MPEP 706.07(e).*

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the arrow on a dial (claim 33) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1, 4-8, 44, 45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris et al, U.S. patent 5,918,218.

Harris et al disclose a trading system and method for enabling a plurality of users to create, manage, and trade a portfolio of assets/liabilities with a processor (40, 50), communicating with a plurality of users (e.g., column 2, line 30 et seq; column 6, line 10 et seq), aggregation of buy/sell orders (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq; column 7, line 1 et seq), storage (40), and electronic payment system (e.g., 1758) (Figure 17C).

Harris et al also disclose an electronic payment system (e.g., 1758) (Figure 17C), plural accounts and payment storage (e.g., Figures 17A-D; column 2, line 60 et seq; column 13, line 38 et seq), communication link (e.g., 240), sending aggregate users' trades to third party trader (e.g., column 7, line 10 et seq).

Art Unit: 3721

4. Claims 30, 32, 44, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ray, U.S. patent 6,018,722.

Ray et al disclose a PC-based program to create, manage, and trade a portfolio of assets/liabilities with a GUI (e.g., 200, 210, 230) (Figure 2; column 10, line 25 et seq), asset allocation model (115), user preference data (Figure 6), plurality of assets/liabilities for portfolio (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), risk and return calculation process (e.g., 720) (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq), portfolio editor (Figure 7), and communication process (130, 450) (Figures 1, 4; column 9, line 23 et seq), processor receiving user data/amounts of money to be invested (e.g., column 9, line 22 et seq; column 10, line 1 et seq), and storage unit (245).

Ray et al also disclose a numerical indicator (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 2, 3, 9-17, 19-25, 36-42, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq).

Harris et al disclose a trading system and method, as discussed, including periodically aggregating and executing transactions over an amount of transactions (e.g., column 6, line 50 et seq; column 7, line 10 et seq), a processor, communication of user ID (e.g., Figures 11-14), periodic/monthly payments (e.g., payroll deductions, column 8, line 15 et seq), fractional shares (e.g., column 8, line 25 et seq), trade pricing information (column 7, line 27 et seq), and payment information (e.g., column 6, line 23 et seq). Harris et al do not disclose asset allocation/portfolio

Art Unit: 3721

creation based on user preferences. Jones et al disclose a user trader system with asset allocation modeling to select assets (e.g., column 2, line 15 et seq; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 40 et seq), user PC (345) for user ID and preferences (e.g., Figures 1, 3; column 5, line 50 et seq), GUI risk and return process (Maggioncalda et al, Figures 4-7c, 9, 10, 12a/b, 14a/b), allocation adjustment (Maggioncalda et al, Figures 12a, 12b), program transmission (e.g., column 5, line 40 et seq), tax basis (320) (column 10, line 25 et seq), pricing data reallocation (column 12, line 10 et seq; column 18, line 50 et seq; column 19, line 17 et seq), Internet/WWW site (column 7, line 55 et seq; column 5, line 40 et seq). An asset allocation modeling process provides an improved means to assist an individual in creating an attainable portfolio (e.g., column 4, line 5 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an asset allocation and portfolio modeling process, as taught by Jones et al, on the method and system of Harris et al to provide an improved means for an individual to manage a portfolio (e.g., for retirement). Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to aggregate transactions more frequently (e.g., a plurality of predetermined times) in order to reduce the size of the omnibus trade file transactions to facilitate execution (cf., Harris et al, column 7, line 50 et seq).

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al (and Maggioncalda et al) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Debe et al, U.S. patent 5,758,097.

Art Unit: 3721

The modified system of Harris et al discloses a trading system. The modified system of Harris et al does not disclose user voting rights information. Debe et al disclose a trading system for tracking user voting rights (Figure 2; column 5, line 42 et seq). A vote tracking system provides an improved means of tracking users' voting interests in investment assets (e.g., those involving fractional interests in an asset) (column 2, line 33 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a vote tracking system, as taught by Debe et al, on the modified system of Harris et al to provide a means of tracking users' voting rights in various investment assets.

7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Champion et al, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

The modified system of Harris et al discloses a trading system, as discussed. The modified system of Harris et al does not disclose a direct dial-up telephone. Champion et al disclose a trading system with direct dial-up telephone connection (Figure 2). A direct-dial telephone connection provides a well-known communication means for a trading system (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a direct-dial connection, as taught by Champion et al, on the modified system of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system.

Art Unit: 3721

8. Claims 27-29 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Champion et al, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

Harris et al disclose a trading system, as discussed, including order aggregation. Harris et al do not disclose a direct dial-up telephone or netting orders. Champion et al disclose a trading system with direct/intermediate dial-up telephone connection (Figure 2) and aggregating and netting orders (e.g., column 5, line 10 et seq). A direct-dial telephone connection provides a well-known communication means for a trading system (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), while order aggregation and netting provides an improved means to significantly reduce transaction expenses (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a direct-dial connection and order aggregation and netting, as taught by Champion et al, on the system of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system and for orders to be transacted.

9. Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq); in view of Ray et al, U.S. patent 6,018,722.

Jones et al disclose a personal computer program for creating, managing, and trading a portfolio of assets/liabilities, including a GUI (345) for user identification and preference data (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq), a user preference asset allocation modeling process (e.g., column 2, line 15 et seq; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 40 et seq) risk and return process (e.g., column 8, line 50 et seq), portfolio editor (e.g., Figure 8; column 6, line 40 et seq),

Art Unit: 3721

communication process (e.g., column 6, line 30 et seq; also Maggioncalda et al, Figure 4). To the extent that Jones et al could be interpreted not to disclose a communication process to execute trades, Ray et al disclose a personal computer program for creating, managing, and trading a portfolio of assets/liabilities, including GUI (e.g., 200, 210, 230) (Figure 2; column 10, line 25 et seq), asset allocation model (115), user preference data (Figure 6), plurality of assets/liabilities for portfolio (e.g., column 5, line 25 et seq), risk and return calculation process (e.g., 720) (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq), portfolio editor (Figure 7), and communication process (130, 450) (Figures 1, 4; column 9, line 23 et seq), processor receiving user data/amounts of money to be invested (e.g., column 9, line 22 et seq; column 10, line 1 et seq), and storage unit (245). A communication process provides a means to implement recommendations generated by an asset allocation/portfolio optimization process program, thereby enabling an individual to manage an investment portfolio (e.g., Ray et al; column 2, line 10 et seq; Jones et al, column 6, line 30 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a communication process, as taught by Ray et al, on the device of Jones et al to provide a means to implement a selected portfolio.

Jones et al also disclose a GUI display of risk and return, numerical indicator, (e.g., Figures 4-7c, 9, 10), “arrow on a dial”/range of numerical values (e.g., Figures 5b, 6, 9, 12a/b, 14a/b). Further, Ray et al also disclose a numerical indicator (e.g., column 5, line 20 et seq). Jones et al are deemed to disclose an “arrow” on a dial/range of numerical values, as disclosed in the present application (page 41, lines 7-9). To the extent that Jones et al do not

Art Unit: 3721

disclose color codes, Official Notice is taken that the concept and advantages of color codes in providing an enhanced visual depiction of investment returns and risks are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide color codes for investment risks and returns to enable a user to better comprehend those relative risks and returns (cf., Maggioncalda et al, column 13, line 10 et seq). Official Notice is also taken that the concept and advantages of control processes that automatically update versions of a user program are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide system updates of a user program in order to automatically provide the most recent features of a user program.

10. Claims 43 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al, in view of Jones et al, U.S. patent 6,021,397 (and Maggioncalda et al, U.S. patent 5,918,217, which is incorporated therein by reference; column 12, line 35 et seq), as applied to claims 42 and 48 above, and further in view of Champion, U.S. patent 5,126,936.

The modified method of Harris et al discloses a periodic investment method, as discussed, including order aggregation. The modified method of Harris et al does not disclose netting orders. Champion et al disclose a trading method including aggregating and netting orders (e.g., column 5, line 10 et seq). Order aggregation and netting provides an improved means to significantly reduce transaction expenses (e.g., column 5, line 50 et seq. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide order aggregation

Art Unit: 3721

and netting, as taught by Champion et al, on the method of Harris et al to provide an efficient, inexpensive means for a user to communicate with the system and for orders to be transacted.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim Calve, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:45 am to 6:45 pm. If the examiner is unavailable, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, may be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for this organization is (703) 305-9051/9052. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

JPC
JPC

January 19, 2001